PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D	29	NOV 2007
WIPO		PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	١			
020529-9123			\dashv			
International application No.	International filing date (day/mor	,	-			
PCT/US04/01760	23 January 2004 (23.01.2004)	24 January 2003 (24.01.2003)	4			
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or national classification and IPC		1			
IPC: B60J 1/04 (2006.01), 7/00 92006.01);B62D 21/00 (2006.01) USPC: 296/203.01,181.2,901.01,193.09,203.02;180/65.5						
Applicant			1			
CLUB CAR, INC.			ᆗ			
 This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 						
2. This REPORT consists of	a total of <u>3</u> sheets, including t	this cover sheet.				
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).						
These annexes consist of a	total of sheets.	·				
3. This report contains indica	ations relating to the following	items:				
J. This report contains inches						
I Basis of the rep	I Basis of the report					
II Priority			Ì			
III Non-establishm	ent of report with regard to nov	velty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
IV Lack of unity o	f invention					
V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
·						
VII Certain defects	in the international application	ı				
VIII Certain observations on the international application						
Date of submission of the demand	Dat	te of completion of this report				
24 August 2004 (24.08.2004)	18 (October 2007 (18.10.2007)				
		havined officer	7			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Mail Stop PCT, Atm: IPEA/ US		Authorized officer Kiran B. Patel Telephone No. 571-272-3600				
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450	Kir	ran B. Patel				
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201		ephone No. 571-272-3600				
Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(July 1998)						

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.	
PCT/US04/01760	

I.	Basis	of the report
1.	With	regard to the elements of the international application:*
	\boxtimes	the international application as originally filed.
	\boxtimes	the description:
		pages 1-12 as originally filed pages, filed with the demand
		pages, filed with the definant
	\boxtimes	the claims:
	لاسكا	pages 1-9 as originally filed
		pages, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
		pages, filed with the demand pages, filed with the letter of
	\square	the drawings:
		pages 1-17 as originally filed
		pages filed with the demand
		pages, filed with the letter of
	Ш	the sequence listing part of the description:
		pages, as originally filed pages, filed with the demand
		nages nied will be letter of
2.	With	regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the uage in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	Thes	the elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:
		the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)).
		the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
		the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination(under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).
3.	With inter	n regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the national preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:
		contained in the international application in printed form.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.
4.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of
		the description, pages NONE
		the claims, Nos. NONE
		the drawings, sheets/ fig NONE
5		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**
		ocyone the distribution and price to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in accement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in ort as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17). replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.
ı		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US04/01760

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
1. STATEMENT				
Novelty (N)	Claims 1-58,60 and 61 Claims NONE	YES NO		
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims NONE Claims 1-58,60 and 61	YES NO		
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims 1-58,60 and 61 Claims NONE	YES NO		

2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(3) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-58, 60-61 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

Referring to claim 1, EP0438546B is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matterof claim 1, and discloses: a car comprising a frame (1) a composite body assembly (11) mounted on the frame and configured to increase rigidity of the frame, the composite body assembly including: a front body section (Fig 1b) including at least two molded panels (12, 13) connected together so as to form a claim shell assembly; the molded panels each being formed of a fiber-reinforced polymer (Claim 13); and a rar body section formed of a molded fiber-reinforced polymer(implicit).

The problem to solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as applying the same technology on another type of vehicle such as a golf car. The solution proposed in claim 1 of the present application cannot be considered as involving an inventive step for the following reasons: being known from EP0438546B to have a car frame on which a composite molded plastic body is to be mounted, is not regarded as inventive to have different dimentions of this body because it is logic to create such body according to the dimentions and design of another vehicle.

The same reasoning applies to the subject-matter of the cossesponding independent claims 17, 32, 42, and 45, which therefore are also considered not inventive. The dependent claims do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim which they refer, meet the requirenments of the PCT in respect on inventive step. They only refer to small details or to streightforward possibilities which do not seem to solve a particular problem. Claims 1, 17, 32, 42, 45 have been drafted as separate independent claims, they appear to relate effectively to same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought or in respect of the terminology used for the features of that subject-matter. The sformentioned claims therfore lack coinciseness and as such do not meet requirements of Article 6 PCT.